



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/067,403	02/07/2002	Laurence Hamid	12-72 US	7278
25319	7590	06/01/2005	EXAMINER	
FREEDMAN & ASSOCIATES 117 CENTREPOINTE DRIVE SUITE 350 NEPEAN, ONTARIO, K2G 5X3 CANADA			ALOMARI, FIRAS B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/067,403

Applicant(s)

HAMID, LAURENCE

Examiner

Firas Alomari

Art Unit

2136

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 February 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/13/2003
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
2. Claims 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
3. Claim 6 recites the limitation "second individual identity" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. All other claim are rejected on the virtue of their dependency.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2136

2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lockhart US (6,230,272).

Regarding claim 1: Lockhart discloses a method of securing security data stored on a computer system (see abstract) comprising the steps of:

Providing a data key to the computer system; (Col 3, lines 39-46)

Transforming the security data with the data key in a reversible fashion to produce encoded secure data such that the data key is required in order to perform a reverse transform and extract the security data from the encoded secure data; and (Col 4, lines 35-43)

storing the encoded secure data in a fashion such that a user authorization process is used to retrieve the encoded secure data such that the data key and the user authorization process in combination, provide access to the security data and such that the stored data within the computer system is encoded. (Col 4, lines 43-45 and Col 4, lines 59-65)

Regarding claim 2: Lockhart discloses the method of securing security data stored on a computer system according to claim 1, wherein a same security data is encoded with several different data keys to provide several different encoded secure data such that a combination of user authorization and any of a plurality of data keys allows for retrieval and decoding. (Col 5, lines 22-28 and Col 5, lines 52-62)

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding claim 3: Lockhart discloses the method of securing security data stored on a computer system according to claim 1, wherein a same security data is encoded with several different data keys to provide several different encoded secure data (Col 5, lines 18-28) and wherein each encoded secure data is associated with one or more user authorization processes such that a combination of one or more user authorization processes and any of a plurality of data keys allows for retrieval and decoding. (Col 6, lines 8-24 and Col 7, lines 22-27)

Regarding claim 4: Lockhart discloses the method of securing security data stored on a computer system according to claim 1, wherein the user authorization process is a biometric information verification process. (Col 3, lines 45-49)

Regarding claim 5: Lockhart discloses the method of securing security data stored on a computer system according to claim 1, wherein the data keys include a password. (Col 4, lines 3-8)

3. Claims 6-10, 13-15 and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bjorn US (6,035,398).

Regarding claims 6 and 13: A method of securing security data stored on a computer system comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 2136

providing a biometric information source (Col 5, lines 61-64) and comparing the biometric information source against stored templates associated with the biometric information source;(Col 5, lines 64-68) and for, in dependence upon a comparison result pairing biometric information source with a first individual identity;(Col 6, lines 1-3) providing a data key associated with a second individual identity;(Col 6, lines 14-17) the data key being other than stored on the computer system; (Col 6, lines 17-24) retrieving encoded security data associated with the biometric information, and using the key data for decoding the encoded security data. (Col 8, lines 54-61)

Regarding claims 7 and 18: A method of securing security data stored on a computer system according to claim 6, wherein the decoded security data is for performing at least one of encrypting and decrypting data on the computer system. (Col 8, line 66 through Col 9, line 6)

Regarding claim 8: A method of securing security data stored on a computer system according to claim 6, wherein the decoded security data is for allowing access of the data to the identified individual. (Col 9, lines 7-18)

Regarding claim 9. A method of securing security data stored on a computer system according to claim 6, wherein the step of accepting biometric information source comprises imaging the biometric information source using a contact imager. (Col 3, lines 4-11 and Col 4, lines 4-11)

Regarding claim 10: A method of securing security data stored on a computer system according to claim 9, wherein the contact imager is a fingerprint imager. (Col 3, lines 4-11 and Col 4, lines 4-11)

Regarding claims 14 and 21: Bjorn discloses the method of securing data as defined in claim 13, wherein the step of providing a first information sample to a computer system comprises the step of: hashing the first information sample to produce a first hash value. (Col 3, lines 44-59)

Regarding claim 15: Bjorn doesn't discloses the method of securing data as defined in claim 13, comprising the steps of:
providing a second other information sample to the computer system; hashing the second information sample to produce a second hash value; encoding the key data in dependence upon the second hash value to produce second security data; and securing the second security data in dependence upon at least one of the at least one biometric information sample.

Regarding claim 15: Bjorn discloses the method of securing data as defined in claim 13, comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 2136

providing a second other information sample to the computer system;(Col 3, lines 28-36)

hashing the second information sample to produce a second hash value; (Col 3, lines 44-46)

encoding the key data in dependence upon the second hash value to produce second security data; and (Col 3, lines 54-65)

securing the second security data in dependence upon at least one of the at least one biometric information sample.(Col 4, lines 8-20)

Regarding claim 20: Bjorn discloses the method of securing data according to claim 19, comprises the steps of: providing a first information sample to a computer system for decoding the encoded biometric sample; (Col 4, lines 60-63 and item 340 of FIG. 3) and comparing the decoded biometric sample against stored templates associated with the biometric information source. (Col 4, lines 64-67 and item 345 of FIG. 3)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 11,12,16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bjorn US (6,035,398) in view of Gressel US (6,311,272).

Regarding claims 11 and 16: Bjorn disclose the method of securing security data stored on a computer system according to claim 6, wherein the step of providing the data key comprises the step of providing a public/private key pair (Col 8, lines 54-61) but he doesn't explicitly disclose the step of providing the data key comprises the step of providing. however Gressel discloses a biometric authentication system where he teaches the using of a password or a shared secret to retrieve and decrypt decryption key stored on memory using biometric techniques (Col 5, lines 56-65) . Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bjorn system with the teachings of Gressel to include provide a password through the authentication process. One would be motivated to do so in order to enable the system to provide the decryption key to the user by authenticating the user using a password or PIN that is usually easier for the user to remember and keeping the decryption key in a secure area.

Regarding claims 12 and 17: Bjorn discloses the method of securing security data stored on a computer system according to claim 6, wherein the step of providing the data key comprises the step of providing information stored on a

Art Unit: 2136

database (Col , lines) but he doesn't explicitly disclose the step of providing the data key comprises the step of providing information stored on smart card.

However Gressel discloses a biometric authentication system where he teaches storing decryption key on a smart card and using a shared key to retrieve and decrypt decryption key stored on the smart card (Col 3, Lines 50-55 and Col 8, lines 28-38). Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bjorn invention with the teachings of Gressel to provide a data key stored the smart card. One would be motivated to do so in order to eliminate any possibility of the decryption key being compromised during operation and to provide higher degree of security against physical attacks. Additionally using the smart card enables the system to provide a higher degree of mobility for the users.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Firas Alomari whose telephone number is (571) 272-7963. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, AYAZ SHEIKH can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2136

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Firas Alomari
Examiner
Art Unit 2136

FA


AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100